Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED PAGE 01 GENEVA 00108 091332Z 47 **ACTION IO-14** INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-*10 RSC-01 SCI-06 HEW-08 AID-20 ABF-01 OMB-01 TRSE-00 L-03 SIL-01 LAB-06 H-03 SS-20 SPC-03 NSC-10 PA-04 PRS-01 USIA-15 CIAE-10 INR-10 NSAE-00 DRC-01 OIC-04 EB-11 COME-00 /2.16 W R 091310Z JAN 74 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3272 **UNCLAS GENEVA 0108** FOR IO/HDC E.O.11652 NA TAGS WHO SUBJ WHO: MEETING OF STANDING COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE REF GENEVA 6734 SUMMARY: COMMITTEE COMPLETES REVIEW OF 1975 BUDGET PROPOSALS, DISCUSSES ITS OWN ELIMINATION, QUESTIONS METHOD OF PRESENTING EXTRA BUDGETARY RESOURCES AND CONSIDERS POSSIBLE REVIEW OF PUBLICATIONS.

1. COMMITTEE HAS COMPLETED RUN THROUGH ON 1975 BUDGET AND ENDED
WITH PROPOSAL FROM ITALIAN REPRESENTATIVE THAT IN VIEW OF PROGRAM
BUDGET WHA AND EXBD SHOULD CONSIDER ABOLISHING STANDING COMMITTEE
ON GROUNDS THAT ITS WORK COULD BE ABSORBED BY EXBD, PERHAPS WITH
ADDITIONAL TWO OR THREE DAYS ADDED TO SESSION OF LATTER. PROPOSAL
WAS GENERALLY ENDORSED BY DG AND BY UK, NEW ZEALAND AND INDONESIA, THE HREE LATTER SPEAKERS BEING OF OPINION THAT
EXBD COULD FULFILL
STANDING COMMITTEE'S ROLE WITHOUT ANY ADDITION TO ITS USUAL SESSION

STANDING COMMITTEE'S ROLE WITHOUT ANY ADDITION TO ITS USUAL SESSION UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 GENEVA 00108 091332Z

OF TEN WORKING DAYS. IN CONVERSATION WITH UK REP AFTER MEETING

MISSOFF WAS ADVISED THAT UK MIGHT CONSIDER REPLACING STANDING COMMITTEE WITH A REVIEW AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE WHICH WOULD MEET TOWARD END OF YEAR.

- 2. DURING COURSE OF DISCUSSION IN STANDING COMMITTEE, UK REP RAISED QUESTION *ADE EARLIER BY US AT GENEVA GROUP SESSION WITH ADG FURT* (REFTEL) I.E. WHY WHO REQUIRED AS MUCH AS \$2.4 MILLION IN SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION FOR 1974 TO MEET COSTS OF FOLD-IN OF FIVE POST ADJUSTMENT CLASSES WHEN ILO APPEARED ABLE TO MEET SAME COSTS WITH ONLY \$700,000 ADDITIONAL FOR TWO YEAR*PERIOD 1974/1975. FURTH'S RESPONSE TO STANDING COMMITTEE WAS THAT WIDE DISPARITY OF COST STEMS FROM DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ILO AND WHO STAFF IN TERMS OF NUMBERS, RATIO OF MARRIED TO SINGLE OFFICERS AND NUMBER OF FIELD VS HQ STAFF PLUS FACT THAT WHO DID NOT APPLY MINUS POST ADJUSTMENTS IN LOW COST AREAS. THIS WAS NOT FOUND ENTIRELY CONVINCING BY SOME MEMBERS.
- 3. A NUMBER OF REPS INCLUDING FRG AND UK EXPRESSED CONCERN OVER FACT THAT EXTRA BUDGETARY INCOME DID NOT FULLY REPRESENT EXPECTATIONS IN THIS FIELD. NOTING THE SHARP DECLINE IN EXTRA-BUDGETARY RESOURCES PROJECTED FOR 1975 AS COMPARED WITH 1974 AND WITH 1973, FURTH STATED THAT PROJECTION REPRESENTED ONLY THOSE RESOURCES THE AVAILABILITIES OF WHICH WAS RELATIVELY ASSURED AND CITED THE UNCERTAINTIES WITH RESPECT TO PROGRAM APPROVALS BY UNDP AND OTHER AGENCIES AS INHIBITING MORE OPTIMISTIC PROJECTIONS. MEMBERS WERE NOT SATISFIED WITH THIS AND UK SUGGESTED THAT IN FUTURE BUDGET SHOULD SHOW BOTH ASSURED LEVEL AND THE HOPED-FOR LEVEL OF SUCH AVAILABILITIE FURTH *NDICATED THIS DIFFICULT BECAUSE DONOR ORGANIZATIONS OR COUNTRIES MIGHT FEEL THAT WHO AND WHA APPLYING PRESSURE3ON THEM. HE PROPOSED COMPROMISE WHEREBY SECRETARIAT WOULD PROVIDE SEPARATE PAPER ON EXTRA BUDGETARY FUNDS AS WELL AS KEEPING ASSURED LEVEL OF SUCH FUNDS IN THE BUDGET ON THE SAME BASIS AS THEY ARE IN THE 1975 BUDGET. CLEARLY THIS MATTE* REQUIRES FURTHER ATTENTION AND GERMAN REPRESENTATIVE MANGER-KOENIG HAS SUGGESTED TO MISSOFF A MEETING OF GENEVA GROUP IN EVENING OF JANUARY 15TH TO DISCUSS INTER ALIA THIS SITUATION. MANGER-KOENIG EXPRESSED STRONG DISSATISFACTION AT BEING ASKED TO APPROVE PROGRAM WITHOUT MORE COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF ANTICIPATED EXTRA BUDGETARY RESOURCES.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 GENEVA 00108 091332Z

4. HUNGARIAN REP SUGGESTED THAT WHO PUBLICATION PROGRAM BE REVIEWED TO SEE WHICH PUBLICATIONS OR TYPE OF PUBLICATIONS ARE LEAST SUCCESSFUL WITH IDEA OF POSSIBLE REDUCTION OR SHIFT IN EMPHASIS. WHO PUBLICATIONS STRONGLY DEFENDED BY SEVERAL DELEGATIONS INCLUDING PARTICULARLY UK WHILE NEW ZEALAND, AGREEING TO HIGH QUALITY OF PUBLICATIONS, FELT THAT SINCE LAST REVIEW OF THIS PROGRAM HAD BEEN IN 1960 A NEW EXAMINATION MIGHT BE DESIRABLE. BASSIN

Doclassified/Polesson	LIS Dopartment	of State EO Systematic	Paviow 20 ILIN 2005
Declassified/Released	J US Department	of State EO Svstematic	Review 30 Jun 2005

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 JAN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a

Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1974GENEVA00108 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740154/aaaabywv.tel Line Count: 114 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION IO

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: GENEVA 6734 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: shawdg

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 26 FEB 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26 FEB 2002 by chappeld>; APPROVED <20-Nov-2002 by shawdg>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: WHO: MEETING OF STANDING COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE

TAGS: AORG, WHO

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005